

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action

CR-24-0040-PR

SEANTAIN LEROY COOK v HON. GIAQUINTO/STATE

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 2-Feb-2024
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. SEANTAIN LEROY COOK, Petitioner
 (Litigant Group) SEANTAIN LEROY COOK

- Seantain Leroy Cook PRO SE

Side 2. HON. LAURA JOHNSON GIAQUINTO, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa, Respondent Judge

(Litigant Group) HON. LAURA JOHNSON GIAQUINTO, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa

- Hon Laura Johnson Giaquinto, Maricopa County Superior Court

Side 3. STATE OF ARIZONA, Real Party in Interest
 (Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona **Attorneys for: Real Party in Interest**
 Philip D Garrow, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22458)

CASE STATUS

Feb 7, 2024.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-SA 24-0018				
↶ MAR	CR2021-001928-001		Laura Johnson Giaquinto, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		
↶ MAR	CR2021-001949-001		Laura Johnson Giaquinto, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 2-Feb-2024 FILED: Petitioner's Motion Seeking Leave to File Expanded Petition for Review (Rec'd From CofA 2/9/2024) (Petitioner Cook, Pro Se)
- 7-Feb-2024 FILED: Petition for Review Special Action Decision Rule (31.21); (32.16) (Petitioner Cook, Pro Se)
- 7-Feb-2024 FILED: Motion for Leave to File Extended Petition for Review (Petitioner Cook, Pro Se)
- 8-Feb-2024 Petitioner Cook filed a "Motion for Leave to File Extended Petition for Review" on February 7, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED a signed Certificate of Compliance, as required by Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 31.21(g)(3) be filed along with the compliant motion by February 22, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 9-Feb-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 14-Feb-2024 RECEIVED: Letter from Petition Re: Pending Cases Dockets
- 22-Feb-2024 FILED: [STRICKEN Per ASC Order Filed 2/22/2024] Amended Petition for Review Special Action Decision Rule (31.21); (32.16) (Petitioner Cook, Pro Se)

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action

CR-24-0040-PR

SEANTAIN LEROY COOK v HON. GIAQUINTO/STATE

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

8. 22-Feb-2024 On February 22, 2024, Petitioner Cook filed a 25-page non-compliant Petition for Review. Upon consideration, IT IS ORDERED the Petition for Review filed on February 22, 2024 is stricken.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Petitioner Cook shall file a Petition for Review not to exceed 12.5 handwritten pages that complies with the requirements of Rule 31.21(g)(2) and 1.6(b)(1)(E), Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure on or before March 8, 2024.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED a signed Certificate of Compliance, as required by Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 31.21(g)(3) be filed along with the compliant Petition for Review. This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant Petition for Review is not filed by March 8, 2024, including the certificate of compliance. (Hon. Ann A. Scott Timmer)
9. 1-Mar-2024 FILED: Appellant's Procedural Motion to Exceed the Page Limit for Review (Petitioner Cook, Pro Se)
10. 7-Mar-2024 On March 1, 2024, Petitioner Cook, pro se, filed "Appellant's Procedural Motion to Exceed the Page Limit for Review." Upon consideration,
- IT IS ORDERED the motion is granted. The petition for review filed on February 7, 2024, shall be decided in due course. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)